IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

JUDGE MORAN

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EA SOERFED ISION MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS								
JOSEPH WOODS,	OCT 1 8 2004	040	66	8	6			
Plaintiff,)	Case No.:			•			
V.)	COMPLAINT FO VIOLATION OF						
Chicago Police Officers EDWARI	D DEDO,	RIGHTS AND	_		_			
Star No. 16763, JAMES SAJDAI	Κ,)	SUPPLEMENTA	L STATE	40	===	9		
Star No. 10434, Individually, and	the)	CLAIM	D	30	FILED	•		
CITY OF CHICAGO,)		STRI ISTRI	ĭ 15	FOR CO			
Defendants.)	JURY DEMANI		1 Kd	DOCKET -7			
$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{U}}$	RISDICTION AND V	ENUE	COUF	F.5	77			

- 1. This action arises under the United States Constitution and the Civil Rights Act of 1871 [42 U.S.C. Section 1983]. This court has jurisdiction under and by virtue of 28 U.S.C. Sections 1343, 1331, and 1367.
- 2. Venue is founded in this judicial court upon 28 U.S.C. Section 1391 as the acts complained of arose in this district.

PARTIES

- 3. At all times herein mentioned, Plaintiff Joseph Woods ("Woods") was and now is a citizen of the United States, and resides within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 4. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Edward Dedo, Star No. 16763 ("Dedo") and Defendant James Sajdak, Star No. 10434 ("Sajdak") were members of the City of Chicago Police Department, and were acting under color of state law and as the employee, agent or representative of the City of Chicago. These officers are being sued in their individual/personal capacity.

5. At all times herein mentioned, the City of Chicago was a political division of the State of Illinois, existing as such under the laws of the State of Illinois. At all relevant times, the City of Chicago maintained, managed, and/or operated the City of Chicago Police Department.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. On or about June 14, 2004, at approximately noon, Plaintiff Woods was located on or near the 4200 block of Wilcox, Chicago, IL.
- 7. On that date, time, and location, the defendants arrested plaintiff without probable cause, made a false police report of the incident, caused plaintiff to be incarcerated and prosecuted, and falsely testified against the plaintiff under oath.
 - 8. Plaintiff in no way consented to this conduct.
 - 9. All criminal charges against the plaintiff were dismissed.
- 10. By reason of the above-described acts and omissions of defendants, plaintiff sustained injuries, humiliation, and indignities, was required to defend against criminal charges, and suffered great physical, mental, and emotional pain and suffering all to his damage in an amount to be ascertained.
- 11. The aforementioned acts of defendants were willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive and done with reckless indifference to and/or callous disregard for plaintiff's rights and justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages in an amount to be ascertained according to proof at the time of trial.
- 12. By reason of the above-described acts and omissions of defendants, plaintiff was required to retain an attorney to institute, prosecute and render legal assistance to him in the within action so that he might vindicate the loss and impairment of his rights. By reason thereof,

plaintiff requests payment by defendants of a reasonable sum for attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988, the Equal Access to Justice Act or any other provision set by law.

COUNT I

Plaintiff against Defendants Dedo and Sajdak for False Arrest

- 13. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs one (1) through twelve(12) hereat as though fully alleged at this place.
- 14. By reason of the defendant officers' conduct, plaintiff was deprived of rights, privileges and immunities secured to him by the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and laws enacted thereunder.
- 15. The arrest of plaintiff was caused by the defendant officers without probable cause and was unreasonable. Therefore, the defendants are liable for this arrest under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

COUNT II

Plaintiff against all Defendants For State Supplemental Claim of Malicious Prosecution

- 16. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges paragraphs one (1) through twelve (12) hereat as though fully alleged at this place.
- 17. On information and belief, the defendant officers caused a criminal prosecution to commence against the plaintiff.
- 18. The defendant officers maliciously commenced and caused to be continued a criminal action against the plaintiff without probable cause for the institution of these proceedings. As a result, plaintiff was injured emotionally and otherwise from the loss of certain constitutionally protected liberty and related rights.

Complaint 3

- 19. The defendant officers facilitated this malicious prosecution by the creation of false police reports, and by giving false and perjured testimony under oath.
 - 20. The criminal proceedings were terminated in the plaintiff's favor.
- 21. Therefore, Defendants Dedo, Sajdak, and the City of Chicago are liable under the state supplemental claim of Malicious Prosecution.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff Joseph Woods, by and through his attorneys, Ed Fox & Associates, requests judgment as follows against the defendants, and each of them on all claims:

- 1. That the defendants be required to pay the plaintiff, general damages, including emotional distress, in a sum to be ascertained;
 - 2. That the defendants be required to pay the plaintiff special damages;
- 3. That the defendants, except the City of Chicago, be required to pay the plaintiff attorneys fees pursuant to Section 1988 of Title 42 of the United States Code, the Equal Access to Justice Act or any other applicable provision (except not on Count III);
- 4. That defendants Dedo and Sajdak be required to pay the plaintiff punitive and exemplary damages in a sum to be ascertained;
- 5. That the defendants be required to pay the plaintiff costs of the suit herein incurred; and
- 6. That the plaintiff has such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper

Dated: October 5, 2004

BY:

Edward M. Fox

ED FOX & ASSOCIATES Attorneys for Plaintiff 300 W. Adams Street Suite 330 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 345-8877 PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY

BY:

Edward M. Fox

ED FOX & ASSOCIATES Attorneys for Plaintiff 300 W. Adams Street Suite 330 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 345-8877

Complaint 5

JUDGE MORAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOMAGISTRATE JUDGE ASHMAN

Civil Cover ShQt4C 6686

This automated JS-44 conforms generally to the manual JS-44 approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. The data is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. The information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is authorized for use <u>only</u> in the Northern District of Illinois.

Plaintiff(s): JOSEPH WOODS

Plaintiff's Atty:

County of Residence:

Edward M. Fox

Ed Fox & Associates

300 W. Adams St., Suite 330,

Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 345-8877

Defendant(s):CHICAGO POLICE OFFICERS, EDWARD DEDO, Star No. 16763, JAMES SAJKA, Star No. 10434, Individually, and the CITY OF CHICAGO

County of ResideNETED

Defendant's Atty

OCT 1 8 2004

FILEO FOR DOCKE IN O4 OCT 15 PM 4:2 U.S. DISTRICT COUR

II. Basis of Jurisdiction:

3. Federal Question (U.S. not a party)

III. Citizenship of Principal Parties (Diversity Cases Only)

Plaintiff:- N/A
Defendant:- N/A

IV. Origin:

1. Original Proceeding

V. Nature of Suit:

440 Other Civil Rights

VI.Cause of Action:

42 U.S.C. Section 1983

VII. Requested in Complaint

Class Action: **No**Dollar Demand:
Jury Demand: **Yes**

<u>VIII.</u> This case <u>IS NOT</u> a refiling of a previously dismissed case.

Signaturez

Date: 10-11-09

1-2

Case: 1:04-cv-06686 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/15/04 Page 7 of 7 PageID #:7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

JUDGE MORAN

In the Matter of

EASTERN DIVISION

MAGISTRATE JUDGE ASHMAN

JOSEPH WOODS

DOCKETED

6686

CHICAGO POLICE OFFICERS EDWARD DEDO, Star No. 16763, JAMES SAJDAK, Star No. 10434, Individually, and the CITY OF OCT 1 8 2004 CHICAGO

APPEARANCES ARE HEREB	Y FILE	D BY 1	THE	UNDE	RSIGNED AS ATTORNEY(S) F	OR:) -	OBTH.	/
Plaintiff				_		<u>.</u>	130	"YT	1
			_			CLE STRI	2	350	
				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	PM	· 1	
(A)					(B)	8	<u> </u>	7 00KF1	
SIONATURE AND					SIGNATURE TO TO	OUR!	PG	<u>=</u>	
NAME					NAME NAME	<u> </u>	1		
Edward/M. Fox				Garrett W. Browne					
Ed Fox & Associates					FIRM Ed Fox & Associates				
300 W. Adams Street, Suite 330				STREET ADDRESS 300 W. Adams Street, Suite 330					
Chicago, IL 60606				City/statezip Chicago, IL 60606					
TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 345-8877	FAX NUME (312)	BER 853-348	9	_	TELEPHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER (312) 345-8877 (312) 853-3489				_
efox@efox-law.com				E-MAIL ADDRESS gbrowne@efox-law.com					
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) 06205330				· <u>-</u>	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) 06242592				
MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES	NO.	0		MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES	Ø	NO	
TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES	NO.	0		TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES	Ø	МО	
					DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	YES		NO	
(C)					(D)				
SIGNATURE MA	\sim				SIGNATURE				
Susan E. Moore					NAME				
Ed Fox & Associates					FIRM				
STREET ADDRESS 300 W Adams Str	reet, Si	ite 33	30		STREET ADDRESS				
Chicago, IL 6060	6				CITY/STATEZIP				
TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 345-8877	(312)	BER 853-348	89		TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NU	MBER		
e-mail address smoore@efox-lav	v.com				E-MAIL ADDRESS				
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) 6237715					IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE)				
MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES	No.	0	V	MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES		МО	
TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES	<u></u> м	o	V	TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES		NO	
DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	YES	□ N	o		DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	YES		NO	